Data & Performance Management Meeting

January 10, 2019
CoC Board Report

• December 2018
  o Most Entries from Homelessness are in the 90% range
  o With the 2019 PIT approaching, would like to see utilization for Emergency Shelter and Transitional Housing projects closer to 100%

• Q4 2018
  o Stayers with Increased Income is below threshold for all project types
  o 581 clients placed in permanent housing!
  o 419 households entered the Coordinated Entry System

• Trends
  o Entries from homeless situations increased in December
  o Inconsistency in Emergency Shelter unit utilization may mean inventory needs to be updated for some projects
  o Comparing April to December data, most project types have less successful exits
  o Increased income is slowly increasing for stayers, despite project types being below threshold
  o Placements in PH in December is the lowest it’s been since reports started
Data Request – Bringing Families Home Evaluation

• Description of request:
  o Compare housing and child welfare outcomes for families in the Bringing Families Home program to similar families that did not receive such services
  o Client level data needed to match a family that received BFH services to ones that didn’t
  o Client identifying information is necessary because they need to link HMIS records to child welfare records to determine how clients overlap across those two systems and to track outcomes/construct a comparison group. After records have been linked, all PII will be stripped from the data and used by the research team for the evaluation of the BFH program.

• Reason for request:
  o Assess the effect of receiving housing-first services under BFH for homeless families with child welfare needs

• Reason request was denied:
  o Request includes client identifying data
  o From HMIS P & P: “No identifiable client data will be released by 211OC or any Participating Organizations to any person, agency, or organization not participating in HMIS for any purpose without written permission from the client, with the exception of subpoenas or other circumstances as required by law”.

System Performance Report

- Length of stay has decreased due to the CES system prioritizing sheltered clients for PH placement
- Length of homelessness has increased due to more accurate data being reported
- Large increase in the number of sheltered homeless and first time homeless due to the addition of Midnight Mission and Salvation Army to HMIS
- Increased income measures are improving!
- Decrease in successful exits to ES, TH, and RRH projects is due to the Armory serving over 1000 additional clients during the past season
Project Performance Reports Process

• Review each measure, and have discussion of thresholds and strategies to improve performance
• Following the meeting, 211OC will email each agency their client level performance data with instructions on how to correct the data, as well as feedback from the group on strategies to improve performance
• If the canned PPR report is not available to the agencies prior to the 5 month follow-up meeting, 211OC will send the agencies their data and give them time to review and make revisions
• Next January, we will compare the project’s performance over the past year and determine next steps
Returns to Homelessness

The percentage of clients that exited to permanent housing in the last two years and later returned to a project targeting homeless clients in HMIS

Discussion:
• Threshold (16/17 SPM = 9%)
• Strategies to improve performance
Stayers with Increased Income

The percentage of adults with increased income who were active in the project at the end of the reporting period with a length of stay of at least one year.

Discussion:
- Threshold
- Strategies to improve performance
- Is training needed on updating data in HMIS?
Leavers with Increased Income

The percentage of adults with increased income who exited during the reporting period

Discussion:
• Strategies to improve performance
Entries from Homelessness

The percentage of entries from homelessness (including transitional housing) for HoHs. HoHs entering from institutional settings where LOS was less than 90 days and the client was homeless prior to entry are considered “neutral” entries (not counted in measurement).

Discussion:

• Strategies to improve performance
• Are all of these projects actually targeting homeless clients?
• Does not include
  o CH clients entering from non-homeless situations
Successful Exits

The percentage of exits to permanent housing situations

Discussion:
• Strategies to improve performance
Average Length of Homelessness

The average number of days between the client’s Approximate Date Homelessness Started and their Housing Move-In Date.

Approximate Date Started should reflect the start date of the client’s current homeless episode.

Discussion:
• Threshold
• Strategies to improve performance
Average Length of Stay

The average number of days between the client’s Project Start Date and their Project Exit Date, or the last date in the reporting period

Discussion:
• Threshold
• Strategies to improve performance
Unit Utilization

The percentage of beds and units occupied during the year
- HHs with children: number of HoH bed nights / (units x days in reporting period)
- HHs without children & HHs with only children: number of bed nights for all clients / (beds x days in the reporting period)

Discussion:
- Threshold
- Strategies to improve performance
Transitional Housing
Project Performance Reports

12/1/17 - 11/30/18

Measures
Returns to Homelessness
Stayers with Increased Income
Leavers with Increased Income
Entries from Homelessness
Successful Exits
Average Length of Homelessness
Average Length of Stay
Unit Utilization
Successful Exits
12/1/17 - 11/30/18

Project 26: 100%
Project 6: 86%
Project 3: 85%
Project 8: 83%
Project 15: 83%
Project 20: 81%
Project 9: 78%
Project 18: 77%
Project 23: 72%
Project 7: 72%
Project 2: 67%
Project 4: 67%
Project 22: 63%
Project 10: 57%
Project 5: 52%
Project 25: 50%
Project 17: 48%
Project 14: 38%
Project 24: 35%
Project 16: 35%
Project 13: 25%
Project 1: 19%
Project 12: 12%
Project 11: 0%

TH Threshold (75%)
Average Length of Homelessness
12/1/17 - 11/30/18

DRAFT
Unit Utilization
12/1/17 - 11/30/18

- Project 19: 125%
- Project 12: 104%
- Project 26: 95%
- Project 18: 90%
- Project 6: 87%
- Project 23: 87%
- Project 4: 85%
- Project 5: 84%
- Project 7: 83%
- Project 22: 80%
- Project 3: 79%
- Project 2: 79%
- Project 8: 76%
- Project 24: 75%
- Project 1: 74%
- Project 20: 70%
- Project 14: 69%
- Project 16: 68%
- Project 10: 67%
- Project 15: 64%
- Project 25: 60%
- Project 17: 58%
- Project 9: 38%
## System Performance Measures Report

**16/17: System Performance Report submitted to HUD May 2018 (10/1/16 - 9/30/17)**

**17/18: System Performance Report to be submitted to HUD in May 2019 (10/1/17 - 9/30/18)**

### Measure 1a: Length of Stay (ES or TH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16/17</th>
<th>17/18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>117</strong></td>
<td><strong>91</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increase: **-26**

4402 clients to 6157 clients

- Average Length of Stay (LOS) is a calculation of the average number of days clients have been enrolled in a project as of their last night bed night during the month.

- The decrease reflected in this measure may be due to the ramping up of the CoC’s Coordinated Entry System, which places clients into Permanent Housing via case coordination and is currently focusing efforts on clients experiencing homelessness in Emergency Shelter and Transitional Housing projects.

### Measure 1b: Length of Homelessness (ES, TH, PH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16/17</th>
<th>17/18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>277</strong></td>
<td><strong>407</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increase: **+130**

4952 clients to 6871 clients

- Average Length of Homelessness is a calculation of the average number of days a client enrolled in ES, TH, or PH projects have spent in homelessness.

- In 2018 the HMIS Lead Agency (211OC) focused efforts on accurately collecting the “Approximate Date [Homelessness] Started” data element. There may not have been a literal increase in the lengths of homelessness, but data collected in HMIS is now more accurately representing existing lengths of homelessness.

### Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness (SO, ES, TH, PH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16/17</th>
<th>17/18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>10%</strong></td>
<td><strong>10%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Returns to Homelessness is a calculation of the percentage of clients who exited to a Permanent Housing destination and returned to homelessness.

### Measure 3.2: Unduplicated Sheltered Homeless (ES and TH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16/17</th>
<th>17/18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>4454</strong></td>
<td><strong>6214</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increase: **+1760**

- Unduplicated Sheltered Homeless is the number of clients who have been enrolled in either an ES or TH project during the reporting period.

- The increase reflected in this measure is due to the addition of the Midnight Mission and the Salvation Army, which both run large Emergency Shelter projects, to HMIS. There has not been a literal increase in the number of people experiencing sheltered homelessness; existing sheltered homelessness is now being captured in HMIS when it was not during the 16/17 reporting period.

### Measure 4.3: Increased Income for Adult Stayers (CoC PH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>16/17</th>
<th>17/18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>47%</strong></td>
<td><strong>61%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increase: **+14%**

850 clients to 928 clients

- Increased Income for Adult Stayers measures the percentage of adults enrolled in a CoC funded PH project with a length of stay of 365+ days who have increased their total income since entering the project.

- The CoC has focused on the increased income measures over the past couple of years, and this measure is beginning to improve as a result.
### Measure 4.6: Increased Income for Adult Leavers (CoC PH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>+4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

407 clients (16/17) to 383 clients (17/18)

Increased Income for Adult Leavers measures the percentage of adults who exited a CoC funded PH project during the reporting period and increased their total income over the duration of their enrollment.

The CoC has focused on the increased income measures over the past couple of years, and this measure is beginning to improve as a result.

### Measure 5.2: First Time Homeless (ES, TH, PH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>4269</td>
<td>+1162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>5631</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

First Time Homeless is a calculation of the number of persons in ES, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS.

The increase reflected in this measure is due to the addition of the Midnight Mission and Salvation Army to HMIS. There was not a literal increase in the number of people experiencing homelessness for the first time; the addition of these two agencies with large ES projects brought in data from clients who had never been captured in HMIS before.

### Measure 7a.1: Successful Exits (SO)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>no change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1364 clients (16/17) to 3861 clients (17/18)

Measure 7a.1 is a calculation of the percentage of clients who exited from a Street Outreach enrollment to permanent housing destinations, temporary destinations (except for a place not meant for human habitation), and some institutional destinations during the reporting period.

The universe of clients has increased because five street outreach projects were added to HMIS in the past year.

### Measure 7b.1: Successful Exits (ES, TH, RRH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>-9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4184 clients (16/17) to 5266 clients (17/18)

Measure 7b.1 is a calculation of the percentage of clients who exited from an ES, TH, or RRH enrollment to permanent housing destinations during the reporting period.

During the 17/18 reporting period, the Mercy House Armory was open longer than it was open the previous year, and therefore served over 1000 clients more than they did the previous year. The decrease in this measure reflects the increase in these clients who frequently do not provide exit locations prior to leaving the project.

### Measure 7b.2: Maintained or Exit to PH (PSH, OPH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16/17</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/18</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2451 clients (16/17) to 2544 clients (17/18)

Measure 7b.2 is a calculation of the percentage of clients who exited from a PSH or OPH enrollment to a permanent housing destination or remained enrolled in a PSH or OPH project during the reporting period.
December 2018 CoC Board Report

Entries from Homelessness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Homeless Situation</th>
<th>Institutional Setting</th>
<th>CoC Threshold</th>
<th>Other Locations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Street Outreach</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Re-Housing</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Supportive Housing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where clients that enrolled into projects within the past month were living prior to entry.

Average Days until PH Placement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Days until Placement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Re-Housing</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Supportive Housing</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Permanent Housing</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average number of days between the client's Project Start Date and Housing Move-In Date.

Average Length of Stay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Average Length of Stay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>55 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>203 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average number of days between the client's Project Start Date and Report End Date (or Project End Date).

Unit Utilization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Percentage Occupied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Permanent Housing</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Supportive Housing</td>
<td>102%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage of beds and units occupied during the month.

Stayers with Increased Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Increased Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Prevention</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Re-Housing</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Supportive Housing</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Permanent Housing</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage of adults enrolled for at least one year that increased their income from any source between entry and latest update.

Leavers with Increased Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Increased Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Prevention</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Re-Housing</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Supportive Housing</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Permanent Housing</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage of adults exited during the month that increased their income from any source between entry and exit.
**Successful Exits**

- Street Outreach: 25%
- Emergency Shelter: 21%
- Transitional Housing: 49%
- Homeless Prevention: 36%
- Rapid Re-Housing: 63%

Percentage of clients that exited during the month to a successful destination for that project type.

**Maintained PSH/OPH or Exited to PH**

- Other Permanent Housing: 100%
- Permanent Supportive Housing: 100%

Percentage of clients that remained in PSH or OPH at the end of the month or exited to a permanent housing situation during the month.

**Clients Placed in Permanent Housing**

150 clients

Number of clients placed in permanent housing situations during the month.

**Households Waiting for Housing on the Prioritization List**

- North: 439 households
- Central: 551 households
- South: 101 households

Number of assessed and document ready households on the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List. There were 1243 households on the Prioritization List waiting for housing this month.

**Average Days on the Prioritization List**

- Households without Children: 214 days
- Households with Children and Adults: 122 days

214 days 122 days

Average number of days between the client’s Survey Date and the last date of the month for assessed and document ready clients on the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List.

**Subpopulation Demographics**

- Chronically Homeless: 356
- Veterans: 8
- Youth: 5
- Seniors (62+): 18

Number of assessed and document ready clients on the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List by subpopulation.

**Coordinated Entry Inflow**

- Households without Children: 83
- Households with Children and Adults: 25

Number of households on the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List with a survey date during the month.

All data pulled from HMIS except for data from the CES individual and family PLs annotated with an asterisk (*). The individual PL data is pulled by the Office of Care Coordination and the Family PL data is pulled by 211OC.
Entries from Homelessness Trends

Where clients that enrolled into projects were living prior to entry.

Unit Utilization Trends

Percentage of beds and units occupied by month.

Successful Exits Trends

Percentage of clients that exited to a successful destination for that project type.
Percentage of adults that increased their income. For stayers, only adults enrolled for at least one year that were active at the end of the month were counted. For leavers, only adults exited during the month were counted.

Average number of days between the client's Project Start Date and Housing Move-In Date.

Average number of days between the client's Project Start Date and Report End Date (or Project End Date).
Percentage of clients that remained in PSH or OPH at the end of the month or exited to a permanent housing situation during the month.

Number of unduplicated clients placed in permanent housing situations. This includes clients that exited projects to permanent housing situations, as well as clients that were placed in units in Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Re-housing projects.

Number of households on the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List with a survey date during the month.
Households Waiting For Housing on Prioritization List Trends*

Number of assessed and document ready households on the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List. There were 1243 households on the Prioritization List waiting for housing at the end of this quarter.

Subpopulation Demographics Trends*

Number of assessed and document ready clients on the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List at the end of the month by subpopulation.

Average Number of Days on Prioritization List Trends*

Average number of days between the client's Survey Date and the last date of the quarter for assessed and document ready clients on the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List.

All data pulled from HMIS except for data from the CES individual and family PLs annotated with an asterisk (*). The individual PL data is managed by the Office of Care Coordination and the Family PL data is managed by 211OC.
Q4 2018 CoC Board Report

Entries from Homelessness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Homeless Situation</th>
<th>Institutional Setting</th>
<th>Other Locations</th>
<th>CoC Threshold (100%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Street Outreach</td>
<td>691</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>1385</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>126</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Re-Housing</td>
<td>141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Supportive Housing</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where clients that enrolled into projects within the past quarter were living prior to entry.

Average Days until PH Placement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Re-Housing</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Supportive Housing</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Permanent Housing</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average number of days between the client's Project Start Date and Housing Move-In Date.

Average Length of Stay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average number of days between the client's Project Start Date and Report End Date (or Project End Date).

Unit Utilization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Permanent Housing</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Supportive Housing</td>
<td>102%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage of beds and units occupied during the quarter.

Stayers with Increased Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Prevention</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Re-Housing</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Supportive Housing</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Permanent Housing</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage of adults enrolled for at least one year that increased their income from any source between entry and latest update.

Leavers with Increased Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Shelter</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeless Prevention</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Re-Housing</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Supportive Housing</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Permanent Housing</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage of adults exited during the quarter that increased their income from any source between entry and exit.
Successful Exits

- Street Outreach: 20%
- Emergency Shelter: 28%
- Transitional Housing: 53%
- Homeless Prevention: 90%
- Rapid Re-Housing: 67%

Percentage of clients that exited during the quarter to a successful destination for that project type.

Maintained PSH/OPH or Exited to PH

- Other Permanent Housing: 100%
- Permanent Supportive Housing: 99%

Percentage of clients that remained in PSH or OPH at the end of the quarter or exited to a permanent housing situation during the quarter.

Clients Placed in Permanent Housing

581 clients

Number of clients placed in permanent housing situations during the quarter.

Households Waiting for Housing on the Prioritization List*

- North: 439
- Central: 551
- South: 43

Number of assessed and document ready households on the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List. There were 1243 households on the Prioritization List waiting for housing at the end of the quarter.

Average Days on the Prioritization List*

- Households without Children: 214 days
- Households with Children and Adults: 122 days

Average number of days between the client’s Survey Date and the last date of the quarter for assessed and document ready clients on the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List.

Subpopulation Demographics*

- Chronically Homeless: 118
- Veterans: 443
- Youth: 18
- Seniors (62+): 70

Number of assessed and document ready clients on the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List by subpopulation.

Coordinated Entry Inflow*

- Households without Children: 312
- Households with Children and Adults: 107

Number of households on the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List with a survey date during the quarter.

All data pulled from HMIS except for data from the CES individual and family PLs annotated with an asterisk (*). The individual PL data is managed by the Office of Care Coordination and the Family PL data is managed by 211OC.